	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/759,774	RAKIB ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Brian D. Nguyen	2661
All Participants:	Status of Application	n:
(1) <u>Brian D. Nguyen</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Khaled Shami</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 25 July 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ N If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 87, 96, 98, and 99 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE As set forth in the examiner's amendment.	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sedirectly resulted in the allowance of the applicat of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sedid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sediment of the contraction of all issues. 	ion. The examiner will provide a parate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
- 0		
(Br) H1111 -		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03)